**Modeling the Universe** Interfacing Theory, Simulations, Statistical Methods, and Observations

### Tim Eifler (JPL/Caltech, University of Arizona)

## The Challenge





## The Challenge





## Introducing CosmoLike

Idea: consistent, multi-probe likelihood analysis software framework including

- Realistic statistical error bars (cross-probe covariances)
- Cross-correlations of observables/systematics
- Efficient treatment of nuisance parameters

Weak Lensing, Galaxy Clustering, Clusters, CMB, CMB-LSS correlations

Astrophysics (Intrinsic alignment, ····· Baryonic Physics) Numerical Simulations/ Emulators

Systematics (photo-z, shape uncertainties)

 Explore fundamental physics (cosmic acceleration, neutrinos, tests of gravity)

Galaxy bias models (linear, quadratic, HOD)

Multi-Probe Covariances/Hybrid Estimators Likelihood free inference Gaussianization of summary statistics

### Project 1: Simulate a Multi-Probe Likelihood Analysis for LSST

Theory+Sims+Stats -> Obs

cosmolike - cosmological likelihood analyses for photometric galaxy surveys

CosmoLike release paper (www.cosmolike.info) Krause & TE 2017

# Example Data Vector and Systematics

- Weak Lensing (cosmic shear)
  - 10 tomography bins
  - 25 I bins, 25 < I < 5000
    </p>
- Galaxy clustering

shear calibration, photo-z (sources) IA, baryons

- 4 redshift bins (0.2-0.4,0.4-0.6,0.6-0.8,0.8-1.0)
- $\circ$  compare two samples:  $\sigma_z < 0.04$ , redMaGiC
- Inear + quadratic bias only : I bins restricted to R> 10 Mpc/h
- HOD modeling going to R>0.1 MPC/h
- Galaxy-galaxy lensing
  - galaxies from clustering (as lenses) with shear sources
- Clusters number counts + shear profile
  - so far, 8 richness, 4 z-bins (same as clustering)
  - tomographic cluster lensing (500 < I < 10000)</li>

N-M relation c-M relation off-centering

## CosmoLike - "Inner Workings"

Krause & Eifler 2017



### **Multi-Probes Forecasts:**



### The Power of Combining Probes



# Zoom into w0-wa plane



Very non-linear gain in constraining power

 Most stringent requirements on numerical simulations, photo-z, shear calibration, etc flow from Multi-Probe statistical limits

# Project 2: Exploring WFIRST survey strategies

Theory+Sims+Stats -> Obs

Project within the WFIRST Cosmology with the High Latitude Survey Science Investigation Team

TE et al in prep



#### Modified Gravity



### Individual vs multiprobe WFIRST analysis



All-In Systematics 76 dimensions (7 cosmology, 69 systematics)

# WFIRST - LSST synergies



## Project 3: New statistical methods to reduce Super-Computing needs

### Theory+Stats -> Sims

Precision matrix expansion - efficient use of numerical simulations in estimating errors on cosmological parameters

Friedrich & TE 2018

# The Problem: Inverse Covariance Estimation

- Analytical covariance model relies on approximations that might be too imprecise for an LSST Y10 data set
- Estimation the covariance from numerical simulations (brute force), requires 10^5-10^6 realizations of an LSST Year 10 like survey to shield against noise in the estimator
- Why?
  - The estimated inverse covariance is not the inverse of the estimated covariance
  - High-dimensionality of the data vector -> many elements in the covariance

# Idea: Estimate the inverse directly

$$p(\boldsymbol{\pi}|\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}) \sim \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2\left[\boldsymbol{\pi} \mid \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \mathbf{C}\right]\right) p(\boldsymbol{\pi})$$

$$\chi^{2}\left[\boldsymbol{\pi} \mid \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \mathbf{C}\right] = \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} - \boldsymbol{\xi}[\boldsymbol{\pi}]\right)^{T} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} - \boldsymbol{\xi}[\boldsymbol{\pi}]\right)$$

**Standard Estimator** 

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\nu} - N_d - 1}{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \hat{\boldsymbol{C}}^{-1}$$

New idea: Include theory information into estimator

Only matrix multiplication, no inversion of estimated quantities

# Idea: Estimate the inverse directly

$$p(\boldsymbol{\pi}|\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}) \sim \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2\left[\boldsymbol{\pi} \mid \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \mathbf{C}\right]\right) p(\boldsymbol{\pi})$$

$$\chi^{2}\left[\boldsymbol{\pi} \mid \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \mathbf{C}\right] = \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} - \boldsymbol{\xi}[\boldsymbol{\pi}]\right)^{T} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} - \boldsymbol{\xi}[\boldsymbol{\pi}]\right)$$

Standard Estimator

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\nu} - N_d - 1}{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \hat{\boldsymbol{C}}^{-1}$$

New idea: Include theory information into estimator

Only matrix multiplication, no inversion of estimated quantities

## Standard estimator

$$\hat{\Psi} = \frac{\nu - N_d - 1}{\nu} \hat{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{C}} := \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mathrm{S}}} \left( \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \right) \left( \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \right)^{T}$$

#### Inverting quantities with "hats" is dangerous

# Idea: Estimate the inverse directly

$$p(\boldsymbol{\pi}|\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}) \sim \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2\left[\boldsymbol{\pi} \mid \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \mathbf{C}\right]\right) p(\boldsymbol{\pi})$$

$$\chi^{2}\left[\boldsymbol{\pi} \mid \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \mathbf{C}\right] = \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} - \boldsymbol{\xi}[\boldsymbol{\pi}]\right)^{T} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} - \boldsymbol{\xi}[\boldsymbol{\pi}]\right)$$

Standard Estimator

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\nu} - N_d - 1}{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \hat{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}$$

New idea: Include theory information into estimator

Only matrix multiplication, no inversion of estimated quantities

# Idea: Estimate the inverse directly

$$p(\boldsymbol{\pi}|\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}) \sim \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2\left[\boldsymbol{\pi} \mid \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \mathbf{C}\right]\right) p(\boldsymbol{\pi})$$

$$\chi^{2}\left[\boldsymbol{\pi} \mid \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \mathbf{C}\right] = \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} - \boldsymbol{\xi}[\boldsymbol{\pi}]\right)^{T} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} - \boldsymbol{\xi}[\boldsymbol{\pi}]\right)$$

Standard Estimator

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\nu} - N_d - 1}{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \hat{\boldsymbol{C}}^{-1}$$

New idea: Include theory information into estimator



Only matrix multiplication, no inversion of estimated quantities

## New estimator

$$\hat{\Psi}_{2nd} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} + \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_m \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_m \mathbf{M}^{-1}$$
$$-\mathbf{M}^{-1} (\hat{\mathbf{B}} - \mathbf{B}_m) \mathbf{M}^{-1}$$
$$-\mathbf{M}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_m \mathbf{M}^{-1}$$
$$-\mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_m \mathbf{M}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{M}^{-1}$$
$$+\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{\nu^2 \hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{B}} - \nu \hat{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{tr} (\mathbf{M}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{B}})}{\nu^2 + \nu - 2} \mathbf{M}^{-1}$$

#### No more inversion of "hat quantities"...

# New estimator performance



- Instead of >10^5 our new estimator only requires ~2000 numerical simulations (LSST case)
- Given that 1 sim is 1M CPUh, at 1c/CPUh
- New method reduces cost \$1B to \$20M (-> fund theorists!)
- Next step: data compression

# Project 4: Synergies of CMB-S4 and LSST

### Obs -> Theory/Sims

Looking through the same lens: Shear calibration for LSST, Euclid, and WFIRST with stage 4 CMB lensing

Schaan, Krause, TE et al 2017







Allows for independent LSST shear calibration at level of LSST requirements in highest z-bins (hard to achieve otherwise)

# Project 5: Test Accuracy in Numerical Simulations

#### Theory -> Sims

Project in some shelf... might never see daylight...

## Numerical simulations have uncertainties

#### Matter Power Spectrum Error Models



## Joint data vector details (LSST Y1, 18000 deg^2)

- WL Source Sample:
- 5 tomographic bins [0:2.5]
- · 25 I-bins [30:5000]
- n\_gal=13 gal/arcmin^2
- Clustering Lens Sample:
- 4 tomographic bins [0:1.0]
- 25 I-bins [30:5000]
- red sequence sample
- k\_max cut-off, R=[2,5,10]
   Mpc/h to justify linear galaxy bias models
- Galaxy galaxy lensing using lens and source sample

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Conclusions

Future of cosmology is very exciting and very complex

- Exciting because of the enormous amount of cosmological data from a variety of surveys
- Complex because smart+precise multi-probe and multi-data set analyses are hard
- We need creative research on systematics mitigation, precise error calculation, model building, data inference
- Critical to interface expertise in simulations, observations, analytical modeling, statistical methods